LCLS Risk Registry February 2009

					Risk Values Before Handling					Risk Control Actions			F	Risk Values After Handling				
Risk ID	Risk Title	lf / Then	POC Owner	Date Last Revised				Worst Case	Risk Handling	Estimated Cost to				Rick Sourcit	Cost Impact (AYK\$)			Risk Retired -
					Risk Consequence	ience Risk Probability	Risk Severity Level		Approach Avoid, Mitigation, Transfer, Accept	Implement Handling (AYK\$)	Steps for Handling the Risk (Punch List)	Risk Consequence	Risk Probability	Risk Severity Level	Best Case	Most Likely	Worst Case	Mark "X" for Yes and date
									Hotopi							most Entery		
1.1 R1.1-020	Management Contingency Analysis	The project does not have a clear understanding of its contingency needs for the remainder of the project then there is the potential for committing to too much (or not enough) scope.	Mark Reichanadter	3/4/2009	Significant technical risk >\$2M but <\$4M L1M delay >3mo Crisis Schedule Risk	25%	High	\$4,000	Mitigate	\$0	 Perform a semi-annual bottoms-up estimate to complete risk-based contingency analysis on remaining work (F. Fernandez) Perform monthly assessment of Estimate at Complete (M. Reichanadter) 	Small technical risk >\$100K but <\$1M Marginal Cost Risk Negligible schedule risk	2%	Low	0	\$250	\$1,000	
R1.1-027	Safety Incident or Accident	IF a safety incident or accident occurs on the SLAC site that requires a stand- down of work activities, THEN additional cost and possible schedule delays could occur.	Mark Reichanadter	12/9/2008	Significant schedule risk >\$1M but <\$2M L2M delay >3mo, L1M delay <1mo Critical Schedule Risk	5%	Low	\$2,000	Mitigate	\$0	Implement LCLS ISM plan including work authorization processes and approvals Conduct contractor toolbox/tailgate meetings Review staff and contractor JSA prior to engaging in activities Conduct regular safety audits (SPOs) Utilize UTR and other SME from SLAC matrix organization as necessary Review lessons learned at the completion of major activities	Significant schedule risk >\$300K but <\$1M L2M delay >3mo, L1M delay <1mo Critical Schedule Risk	<1%	Low	0	0	\$1,000	
R1.1-028	Owner-Directed Changes to LCLS Conventional Facilities	IF there are excessive owner- directed changes to the LCLS conventional facilities, THEN there could be cost and schedule impacts to the project.	R. M. Boyce	3/4/2009	Significant technical risk >\$100K but <\$5M L2M delay >3mo Critical Schedule Risk	15%	Medium	\$4,000	Mitigate	\$0	 Implement weekly walk-arounds by LCLS CF staff, LCLS System Managers, and LUSI Staff: On-going. Develop and manage a priority list of ODC and review with project management. Manage ODC through IMT, DCR and BCR processes. IMT is actively working with managers on a weekly basis to review proposed changes: no major changes requested as of 3/4/09. 	Marginal schedule risk >\$100K but <\$1M Marginal Cost Risk L2M delay <1mo	10%	Low	0	\$500	\$1,000	
R1.1-030	Installation Schedule	If LCLS installation activities are not well integrated throughout the project then there is a risk of not meeting the start of commissioning milestones	R.M Boyce	3/4/2009	Significant schedule risk >\$100K but <\$1M L2M delay >3mo, L1M delay <1mo Critical Schedule Risk	40%	Medium	\$1,000	Mitigate	\$0	 Establish planning meetings to develop and integrate installation & checkout tasks at systems levels - 1/5/09 *Done - weekly installation meetings held. Develop clear goals for photon delivery into the FEE and NEH 1/5/09: 3/4/09 phase 1 & 2 plans developed to deliver beam into FEE and approved by management and safety officers. Continue to review installation float on a monthly basis to ensure schedule is maintained. 	Marginal schedule risk >\$100K but <\$1M Marginal Cost Risk L2M delay <1mo	10%	Low	\$100	\$500	\$1,000	
1.2	Injector System																	
1.3 R-1.3-007	Linac System Emittance measurement upstream of BC2	IF Sector 28 wire scan emittance measurement does not provide adequate understanding of wake field effects in L2 THEN wire scanners will have to be installed in sector 24 before undulator commissioning can be successful.	Dave Schultz	3/3/2009	Significant Schedule Risk >\$100K but <\$1M L3M delay >3mo, L2M delay <3mo	Unlikely - ~20%	Medium	\$250	Accept	\$0	 Perform emittance studies during the 2008 commissioning - done Re-evaluate risk August, 2008 - done Re-evaluate risk April 2009 - done Re-evaluate risk August 2009 - retire if not realized 	Significant Schedule Risk >\$100K but <\$1M L3M delay >3mo, L2M delay <3mo	Unlikely - ~10%	Low	0	0	\$250	
R-1.3-008	Linac Stripline BPM sensitivity	IF the old linac stripline BPM electronics performance is insufficient to support Undulator commissioning THEN they must be replaced by new-design electronics used in the injector and LTU	Dave Schultz	3/3/2009	Significant Schedule Risk >\$100K but <\$1M L3M delay >3mo, L2M delay <3mo	Unlikely - ~20%	Medium	\$200	Accept	\$0	 Install coaxial signal cables for linac BPM electronics during 2007 shutdown (done) Perform a trial of new BPM electronics to evaluate the level of improvement possible (done) Re-evaluate risk August, 2008 (done) Re-evaluate risk April, (done) BPM electronics need to be replaced for Operations and Control Systems reasons not associated with this stated risk. Find most cost effective way to implement this change and retire this risk - August 	Significant Schedule Risk >\$100K but <\$1M L3M delay >3mo, L2M delay <3mo	Unlikely - ~20%	Low	\$200	\$200	\$200	
1.4	Undulator System																	
R1.4-033	Undulator System Mechanical failure	If the the Undulator system experiences mechanical failure (eg. windows in the rfbpms begin breaking) then the system will need repair and this could delay commissioning of the undulator system and early science.	Dave Schultz	3/3/2009	Marginal technical risk >\$100k but <\$1M L3M delay >3mo Significant Schedule Risk	10%	Medium	\$500	Mitigate	\$100	 Begin design effort for BPM replacement 9/08 (done) Develop work-around plans to mitigate delays 9/08 (done) Exercise all systems and assess reliability 11-12/08 (done) Re-evaluate risk April 2009 (done) Continue with BPM repair R&D Continue with motor repair design 	Marginal technical risk >\$100k but <\$1M L3M delay >3mo Significant Schedule Risk	10%	Low	0	\$0	\$500	

LCLS Risk Registry February 2009

				Risk Values Before Handling				Risk Control Actions			Risk Values After Handling						
	Risk Title	PO	Date Last			Risk Severity Level	Worst Case I Cost Impact (AYK\$)	Risk Handling Approach Avoid, Mitigation, Transfer, Accept	Estimated Cost to Implement Handling (AYK\$)	Steps for Handling the Risk (Punch List)	Risk Consequence	Risk Probability	Risk Severity Level	Cost Impact (AYK\$)			Risk Retired -
Risk ID		lf / Then Own		Risk Consequence	Risk Probability									Best Case	Most Likely	Worst Case	Mark "X" for Yes and date
1.5 X	X-Ray, Transport, 0	Optics & Diagnostics System															
R-1.5-015 s	Late changes due to evolving shielding requirements	IF there are changes in the size and/or position of the collimators and shielding elements that are required by RP/RSC THEN the schedule and/or cost plans for these shielding components may be exceeded.	ır 11/24/2004	Low technical risk Cost risk <\$50K Marginal Schedule Risk L2M delay < 1 month	20%	Low	\$50	Mitigate	\$0	 Monitor evolution of RP/RSC requirements for approval of shielding design for X-ray areas (Hal Tompkins, Peter Stefan). Respond promptly to RP reqests for shielding design concepts, ray traces, etc. 	Low technical risk Cost risk <\$50K Marginal Schedule Risk L2M delay < 1 month	5%	Low	0	\$25	\$50	
1.6 X	X-Ray Endstations	System															
u R-1.6-009 ir P A	to evolving requirements early in the design phase of the Atomic Physics	IF there are major scope changes for the atomic physics instrument THEN the actual cost for this instrument may be higher than our current cost estimates, and the schedule may be delayed.	ır 11/24/2000	Low technical risk Cost risk < \$25K Marginal Schedule Risk L2M delay < 1 months	3 10%	Low	\$25	Mitigation steps completed	\$0	 Adhere to the Requirements Documents (PRD, ESD, ICD, RDS). Finalize scope at time of PDR (done). 	Low technical risk Cost risk < \$25K Marginal Schedule Risk L2M delay < 1 month	10%	Low	0	\$10	\$25	
R-1.6-010 d	Risk of FEL damage to B4C absorbers	IF there is a perceived risk that the FEL beam can cause damage to the B4C photon absorbers in the LCLS dump area, THEN normal FEL operation will not be permitted	ır 11/24/2004	Significant Schedule Risk L2M delay <3 months	50%	High	\$1,000	Mitigate	\$100	 Prepare B4C test facility in Beam Dump area, install test facility in March 2009, monitor integrity of B4C test piece during early FEL operation, follow B4C test plan as FEL intensity/repetition rate increases. 	Low schedule risk L2M delay <1 month	10%	Low	0	\$0	\$100	
R-1.6-011 e	early science milestone	IF there are delays in AMO procurement and/or installation, THEN the early science milestone could be missed	ır 11/24/2008	Significant Schedule Risk L2M delay < 2 months	50%	High	\$1,000	Mitigate	\$500	 Add additional manpower to AMO team, utilize SLAC MFD manpower to speed assembly/checkout of AMO vacuum system 	Low schedule risk L2M delay <1 month	10%	Low	0	\$100	\$500	
1.9 C	Conventional Facil	lities															
R1-9-036 b	Subcontract Value, bonds, insurance	If TCCo prevails in arbitration/litigation then LCLS is subject to additional costs above budget amount	nz 11/24/200	Minimal technical risk >\$500K but <\$5M Significant Cost Risk No schedule impact	30%	High	\$3,000	Mitigate	\$300	 Claim referred to arbitration (done) Attorneys "negotiated" and returned for settlement (done) Negotiate terms with Turner (on going) Issue contract modification \$2.2M budgeted for claim settlement - total claim \$4.6M 	Minimal technical risk >\$500K but <\$5M Significant Cost Risk No schedule impact	30%	High	0	\$1,000	\$3,000	
	FEH Hutches - Construction	If hutch construction is delayed then installation of the technical equipment will also be delayed.	nz 3/5/200	Schedule impact: <3 months Marginal Cost Risk >\$100K but <\$1M L3M < 3mo	20%	Medium	\$200	Mitigate	\$0	 Early procurement of structural steel Timely completion of hutch design - March 2009 Timely procurement of Construction Contract - April 2009 Construction Management using BMP 	Minimal technical risk >\$100K but <\$1M Marginal Cost Risk	10%	Low	0	\$25	\$200	
R1.9-047 L	LCLS Office Space	If office space project is not completed as scheduled, the Critical Path (based on Project float) to CD-4 will be driven by office space availability.	o 5/7/200	Schedule impact: <3 months Marginal Cost Risk >\$100K but <\$1M L3M < 2mo L2M <1mo	30%	Medium	\$600	Mitigate	\$100	 Strict Enforcement of Contract Schedule Schedule Incentives (and Liquidated Damages) in Construction Contract Extended work hours (10 hr shifts) Schedule Resequence (Concurrent activities) Acceleration (increased workforce, 6-day weeks, multiple shifts) Design to support Phased-development Advance Work Planning and Control (review and approve) 	Minimal technical risk >\$50K but <\$100 Marginal Cost Risk No schedule impact	10%	Low	0	\$50	\$100	
							\$17,825		\$1,100					\$300	\$2,660	\$8,925	