



X-Ray Absorption Spectroscopy: Practical Aspects



John Bargar, May 20, 2008

SSRL School on Synchrotron X-ray Absorption Spectroscopy Techniques in Materials and Environmental Sciences: Theory and Application

XAS: What you get out of the measurement:





Synchrotron-Based Techniques: Key Advantages

Three Major Categories

I. Set-up and optimization of beam lines





II. Sample optimization & choice of detector







III. Data Acquisition





aturni/

I. Beam line set-up and optimization

- Major elements of in-hutch equipment
- Major elements outside of hutch
- Ion chambers and their output signal chain
- Mono tuning why, how, and how much?
- Slit size for samples, impact on resolution
- Energy calibration: why, how, how frequently?





I. Beam line set-up and optimization: Out-of-hutch instrumentation









SSRL BL 11-2



Monochromator









I. Beam line set-up and optimization: Ion Chambers



10⁻⁶





I. Beam line set-up and optimization: Monochromator tuning



Bragg's law: $n \cdot \lambda = 2 \cdot d \cdot sin(\theta)$

n=1 : "fundamental" n>1 : "harmonic"



"**Detuning**": rotating 2nd crystal slightly away from diffraction condition.

→ Reduces contribution from harmonics!

→ Typical values: ~40% @ 6 keV ~25% @ 13 keV ~15% @ 20 keV









I. Beam line set-up and optimization: Choice of monochromator crystal

Si(220): Energy range: ~4 to 40 keV, higher E resolution Si(111): Energy range: ~2 to 20 keV, lower E resolution







01 50 51 51 400 mm to the second sec



I. Beam line set-up and optimization: Slits control energy resolution!



To change energy resolution: Change slit opening.

Big effect on edge shape AND apparent calibration!

ALSO – choice of crystal (e.g. (220) vs (111)) impact energy resolution





I. Beam line set-up and optimization: Mono energy calibration



Calibration foil located between $I_1 \& I_2$.

Remove foil after taking calibration (check calibration between every other sample).
OR – use calibration foil *different* from sample element (continuous calibration).

Calibrate on first inflection point of rising edge (preferred) or on top of white line.



Use consistent energy resolution!: mono crystal, same slit opening. Good strategy: close slits so spectrometer resolution is < core hole life time.





II. Sample alignment and detectors

- Transmission vs fluorescence geometry
- Transmission geometry
- Lytle detectors for fluorescence yield detection
- Ge detector: highly dilute, chemically complex samples





II. Sample alignment and detectors: Transmission vs. fluorescence geometry

Advantages

Transmission mode with ion chambers

Simple Collect 100% of signal No count-rate limitation

Fluorescence mode with ion chambers

Simple Collect ~10% of sphere No count-rate limitation

FluorescenceExcellent for dilutemode withsamples or samplesenergy-dispersivesamples with interferingdetectorsfluorescence lines

Requirements

Constant sample density, thickness!!!!! Concentrated, rel. pure samples.

Comments

Eliminate harmonics!

> 500 ppm No strong interfering elements

< 300 KHz count rate total count rate

Beware: overabsorption!

Beware: overabsorption and dead-time!

Examples

Concentrated solids: grind to fine powder, thoroughly mix with BN or LiCO₃, use trans mode. **Thick solid suspensions:** run in trans if mechanically stable and can make thin enough. **Aqueous solutions:** typically fluor mode with E-disp. detector. Maximize concentration. **Soils:** fluo mode typically with E-disp. detector



II. Sample alignment and detectors: Transmission geometry



Beware, 10 and 11 can contain "junk" intensity not proportional to EXAFS: *e.g.*,

I₁ = data + pinhole intensity + harmonics + dark current

When junk intensity ~ data then spectra will be screwed up!





II. Sample alignment and detectors: Transmission geometry







And, your data will look like:



Rules for transmission samples:

- Must be homogeneous on 1 μm scale
- Use small slits -typically NOT count-rate limited!
- Must be of rigorously constant thickness
- Must rigorously eliminate harmonics
- Must measure/subtract dark current
- Ideal sample: I_1 drops by 70 to 90% over edge









II. Sample alignment and detectors: How to prepare transmission samples

Ideally, wish to prepare powder samples that have the same homogeneity of a $\sim 2 \mu m$ -thick metal foil!

How do we do this in a sample that is typically ~1 mm thick?

- Proper density achieved by mixing small quantity of sample into a weakly-absorbing matrix.
 - Typical matrices: BN, sucrose, Al₂O₃. Al₂O₃ is often best because it is not redox active and it is very hard, so it can be used to further mill the sample.
- How much compound to add? Can be calculated using web tools at <u>http://www.cxro.lbl.gov/</u> to obtain ~80% absorption by the metal of interest above the edge. Typical ratio is 20 mg of sample in 70 mg of BN or Al₂O₃.
- Homogeneity is achieved by first milling your sample and matrix separately and thoroughly using mortar/pestle to obtain particle size
 1 µm. Then, weigh sample into matrix and continue to mix
- Must be of rigorously constant thickness: load into stiff sample holders.
- Pressing pellets is helpful, but beware of preferred particle orientation!



II. Sample alignment and detectors: Fluorescence geometry





Dilute sample paradigm – assumes absorption of beam is so weak that it does not corrupt amplitudes from rear of sample.

Concentrated samples will suffer amplitude reduction, so called, "**overabsorbance**" effect.

Can strongly modify XANES region.

Mitigation: run concentrated samples in transmission, with electron yield. In some cases, it is possible to analytically correct for self absorbance (Corwin Booth's talk this AM.









II. Sample alignment and detectors: Lytle detector

Good for relatively pure and moderately dilute samples (~1,000 to 20,000 ppm range).



Gases: Ar (< 10 KeV), Xe (10 – 15 keV), Kr (>15 keV) – energies of *emission* lines!







Use **x-ray filters** in conjunction with Soller slits to reduce elastic scattering from signal.





Figure 3. X-ray Filter Position



Figure 2. Soller Slit Position





Pt in marine

ferro-

manganese

crusts



II. Sample alignment and detectors: Dilute & chemically heterogeneous samples



Solid-state detectors (single Ge and Si crystals) provide energy resolution of *ca* 250 eV FWHM and can resolve individual emission peaks.



Disadvantage: count-rate limitation to ~280,000 counts/sec

Use high-pass **x-ray filters** (in this case, V or AI) to cut "background" counts and thus allow for more Pt counts.



II. Sample alignment and detectors: Solid state detectors: basics





XX.

II. Sample alignment and detectors: Solid state detectors: basics





~ 1 µsec

When count rate approaches ~100,000 counts/sec, detector becomes paralyzed during some events = "deadtime", according to:

SCA = $K \cdot ICR_t \cdot exp(-ICR \cdot T_d)$

 κ = constant, T_d = dead time.

Data can be quantitatively corrected (hands on sessions)





III. Data acquisition

To be discussed during hands-on sessions:

- Setting up regions files optimizing counting time, data range
- How to check data quality
- What will be the good data range?
- How many scans are enough?

Beam damage...

some samples are particularly subject to photo-induced redox changes. Mitigation: typically cryogenic temperature for data acquistion.









III. Data acquisition which beam line should I use?

Model compounds (concentrated, compositionally simple): BL: 4-1, 4-3, 10-2

Moderately dilute samples: BL: 4-1, 4-3, 10-2

Highly dilute and/or chemically heterogeneous samples: BL: 7-3, 9-3, 11-2

Low-energy XAS (~2.1 - ~6 keV): BL: 6-2, 4-3

High-energy XAS (~17 - 38 keV): BL: 4-1, 7-3, 10-2, 11-2

Mat Sci: BL: 4-1, 4-3, 10-2

Environmental: BL: 4-1, 4-3, 10-2, 11-2

Biological: BL: 7-3, 9-3

Micro-XAS: BL 2-3

Grazing-incidence XAS: BL 11-2



